

•



GUILLERMO MONTES, PH.D. BOHDAN S. LOTYCZEWSKI A.DIRK HIGHTOWER, PH.D.

NOVEMBER, 2012



# Children's Institute Early Cognitive Kindergarten Parent-Reported Screening Instrument (CI-ECKPSI)

GUILLERMO MONTES, PH.D. BOHDAN S. LOTYCZEWSKI A.DIRK HIGHTOWER, PH.D.

NOVEMBER, 2012





**Purpose and objectives**: The purpose of this brief technical report is to describe the technical psychometric properties of the Children's Institute Early Cognitive Kindergarten Parent-Reported Screening Instrument (CI – ECKPSI). The ECKPSI is a very brief parent report, a set of two questions that can be used by school districts to identify students entering kindergarten that are likely to need academic intervention.

The objectives of the project were:

- (a) To make the measure as short as possible, so as to reduce district's and parent's costs in obtaining the information.
- (b) To ensure that the measure had an alpha reliability above .70, which is typically acceptable for screening measures.
- (c) To ensure that the measure could assess the low end of the early cognitive continuum, thus ceiling effects are expected for this type of construct.
- (d) To ensure that the measure is positively correlated with 4<sup>th</sup> grade English Language Arts and Mathematics New York State Assessments for the urban population.

**Sample**: The sample was obtained from a medium-sized city in upstate New York. At their children's registration prior to entry into kindergarten, parents or caregivers completed the Parent Appraisal of Children's Experiences (K-PACE), a screening instrument that assesses multiple domains. In 2004-05, 1,726 kindergarten PACEs were completed. After removal of forms that could not be matched with school district registration records, e.g., because of malformed identifiers, and duplicated records, 1,649 kindergarten students remained in the sample. In fourth grade, students completed NY State assessments of English Language Arts and Mathematics. Students could take the assessments later (e.g., because of retention) or, in rare cases, earlier, than the rest of the cohort, so test scores were obtained from the 2007-08 (N=7), 2008-09 (N=836), 2009-10 (N=323), and 2010-11 (N=11) school years, yielding 1,177 students who had both PACE and NYS ELA scores and 1,172 who had PACE and NYS Mathematics data. Of these, 30 students took the tests two consecutive years. After the second year's results were dropped, 1,147 students with ELA scores and 1,142 with Mathematics scores remained.

The final sample of students was 48% male, and 66% African-American, 21% Latino/Hispanic, and 15% White/not Hispanic. More than one race/ethnicity category could be selected.

**Methods:** Classical test analyses were used to identify the smallest collection of uni-dimensional items that targeted early cognitive functioning. Next, Rasch analyses were performed to ensure that items were well-ordered and fit the model. Predictive validity was estimated against 4<sup>th</sup> grade NY standardized test scores in Mathematics and English language Arts (ELA), as well as grade retention based on district data.

**Results**: The measure consisted of two items (in order of difficulty): "Does your child have difficulty remembering things?", and "Does your child have difficulty learning new things?".

- The overall alpha reliability of this 2 item measure was .91.
- All items have good infit and outfit mean square estimates (in the [.5, 1.5] range) and are close to expected values indicating good fit with the Rasch Andrich model.
- All items have categories that are progressively ordered.
- A table of norms is provided to convert raw scores from 2-8 to normed scale scores from 186 to 570.
- The measure is moderately correlated with 4<sup>th</sup> grade NY state Mathematics and English Language Arts scale scores.



- The measure is moderately correlated with having repeated a grade by 4<sup>th</sup> grade.
- As expected, the measure has ceiling effects.
- A cutoff was selected. Students below the cutoff were significantly at higher risk of academic failure five years later:
  - o They were 2.5 times more likely to fail the ELA exam five years later.
  - They were 1.6 times more likely to fail mathematics state assessment.
  - o They were 5 times more likely to score in level 1 in the ELA exam.
  - o They were 3 times more likely to score in level 1 in the mathematics exam.
  - They were 1.8 times more likely to repeat a grade in the study period.

**Conclusion:** The CI-ECKSI is a short (2 item) parent-reported questionnaire that is highly reliable, well ordered and correlated with third party administered official test score data five years after the assessment took place and with grade retention in the five year period of time.

Students below its high-risk cutoff score were 60% more likely to fail the mathematics exam, and 2.5 times more likely to fail the ELA assessments five years later, as well as 85% more likely to repeat a grade in the study period.

School districts can use this brief instrument to provide parents with an opportunity to share their views of their child's cognitive functioning at entrance into kindergarten, and can use the information to screen children who likely need an intervention plan to improve academic outcomes in elementary school.

Because the information is obtained from parents, it is anticipated that parents would be happy to have a responsive school contact them about the needs they have expressed. The information in this report indicates that absent successful identification and intervention, students will be likely to fail academically by 4<sup>th</sup> grade.



## Odds Ratios predicting academic failure for students below cutoff:

Table 1 shows the odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals.

Table 1. Odds of failing for students below cutoff score.

	n	OR	95% Confid	ence Interval
Failing ELA	761	2.45**	1.61	3.74
Failing Mathematics	759	1.61*	1.04	2.49
ELA level 1	761	5.14**	2.62	10.12
Mathematics Level 1	759	3.13**	1.69	5.77
Repeating a grade	1022	1.85**	1.29	2.65

Note: OR odds ratio. \* p<.05, \*\*p<.01. Computation on ELA and mathematics only for students who did not repeat a grade.

Students who scored below cutoff were 2.45 times more likely to fail the ELA exam five years later, 1.61 times more likely to fail mathematics state assessment, 5.14 times more likely to score in level 1 in the ELA exam, and 3.13 times more likely to score in level 1 in the mathematics exam. They were also 1.85 times more likely to repeat a grade in the study period. Therefore, these students can be considered to be at substantially higher risk of academic failure.

## **Predictive validity:**

Raw ECKSI score correlations with district academic data (5 years from assessment):

	n	Predictive Validity	Significance
4 <sup>th</sup> ELA scale score*	761	0.27**	p<.01
4 <sup>th</sup> Mathematics scale* score	759	0.17**	p<.01
Repeat grade in 5 year period	1022	-0.10**	p<.01

Note: \*\*p<.01. Computation on ELA and mathematics only for students who did not repeat a grade.

The measure was correlated in the expected direction.

#### **CTT** analysis:

Alpha reliability =.91

Factor structure: 1 factor.



# Rasch analysis:

MEASURE	P OF STUDENT ANI	BOTTOM P=50%	MEASURE	TOP P=50%	MEASURE
	.###########		+- QUESTIO		<rare> 1300</rare>
	1		] ]	 	
1200	.#		 + -	XX	1200
1200	ì		1		1200
1100	4	<b>-</b>	+ -	<del> </del> 	1100
			į		
1000	4	-	+ -	 <del> </del>	1000
	[		] ]	  -	
900	4	- -	- + -	<del> -</del>  -	900
800	.###   -	<del>-</del>	 + -	 <del> </del>	800
			] [	  -	
700			İ		700
700		-	1		700
			1	 	
600	4	-	+ -	<del> </del> 	600
			į		
500	-	 -	+ XX -	 <del> -</del>	500
	.		] [	 	
400	ĺ		1	  -	400
400	į		İ		400
			1	 	
300	-	<del>-</del> 	+ - I	<del> </del> 	300
200	4	- -	+ -	 <del> -</del>	200
	.##		 	 	
100	. 4	<b>-</b> 	+ - I	<del> </del> 	100
			İ		
0	-	 <del>-</del>	+ -	  -	0
			] 	 	
-100		_	 +	 <del> </del>	-100
T 0 0			İ		T 0 0
	l				

CI - ECKPSI | November 2012 | Page 4



-200	. + XX	+	+	-200
	1			
	1			
-300	. +	+	+	-300



```
STUDENT - MAP - QUESTION
                       <more>||<frequ>
1300 .###########
                                 \perp
                                 | \cdot |
                                1200
                                 ++
                                 | |
                                 | \cdot |
                                SII
1100
                                 | \cdot |
                                 | |
                                 | |
1000
                                 ++
                                 \prod
                                 | \cdot |
 900
                                 | \cdot |
                                 .####
                                 800
                                 \perp
                                 \perp
                                 | \cdot |
 700
                                M++
                                 | \cdot |
                                 | \cdot |
                                 600
                                 II
                                 | | T
 500
                                                                                     I21 learns
                                 ++M I20 remembers
                                 | | T
                                | \cdot |
 400
                                 ++
                                 II
                                 | \cdot |
                                 | \cdot |
 300
                                S++
                                 |\cdot|
                                 | \cdot |
                                 | \cdot |
 200
                                 | \cdot |
                         .##
                                100
                                 ++
                                 \Box
                                 | \cdot |
                                 | \cdot |
     0
                                 | |
                                 |\cdot|
-100
                                T++
                                 | | |
                                 II
                                 \perp
-200
                                 | \cdot |
                                 | \cdot |
```



-300 . ++ <less>||<rare>EACH "#" IS 49. EACH "." IS 1 TO 48



threshold -400200	0 200	ED BY AN OB 400 600	SERVED CATEG	GORY) 1200 1400	":" indicates Rasch-half-point
					NUM QUESTION 20 I20 remembers
1 1 :	2	:	3	: 44	21 I21 learns
+	+	++-			NUM QUESTION
-400200	0 200	400 600	800 1000	1200 1400	
	1		2	5	
1 1	0	4	01	9 6 6	
3 2 3	0	2	82	3 20 3	STUDENT
	Т	S		M	
0	10		30	40 99	PERCENTILE
			•		ATED BY AN OBSERVED CATEGORY)
	200 40				-  NUM QUESTION
1	2	+	3		l 20 I20 remembers
1	2		J	-	
i I					
1	2		3		4 21 I21 learns
		+	+		-  NUM QUESTION
-200 0	200 40	0 600	800 1000	1200 140	00
			2		5
2	9	4	1	9 6	
8	19	2	37		3 STUDENT
	T	S		М	
0	10		30	40 9	99 PERCENTILE



#### SUMMARY OF 1108 MEASURED (EXTREME AND NON-EXTREME) STUDENT

	TOTAL				MODEL		INF	'IT	OUTF	IT
	SCORE	COUNT	MEAS	URE	ERROR	M	INSQ	ZSTD	MNSQ	ZSTD
MEAN	6.6	1.9	1	082	231					
S.D.	1.7	.3		461	75					
MAX.	8.0	2.0	1	406	501					
MIN.	1.0	1.0	- 3	363	141		.00	-1.6	.00	-1.6
REAL F	RMSE 266 '	TRUE SD	376	SEPA	RATION	1.41	STUD	EN RELI	ABILITY	.67
MODEL F	RMSE 243	TRUE SD	392	SEPA	RATION	1.61	STUD	EN RELI	ABILITY	.72
S.E. 0	OF STUDENT M	EAN = 14								

STUDENT RAW SCORE-TO-MEASURE CORRELATION = .84

CRONBACH ALPHA (KR-20) STUDENT RAW SCORE "TEST" RELIABILITY = 1.00



ENTRY	TOTAL	TOTAL		MODEL  IN	FIT   OUT	FIT   PT-MEA	SURE   EXACT	MATCH		-
NUMBER	SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	S.E.   MNSQ	ZSTD MNSQ	ZSTD CORR.	EXP.  OBS%	EXP%	QUESTION	1
				+	+	+	+	+-		
21	3541	1028	488	14  .99	2  .98	.0 A .95	.95  83.1	81.1	I21 learns	-
20	3800	1102	512	14  .97	4  .95	2 a .95	.95  83.6	81.5	I20 remembers	1
				+	+	+	+	+-		
MEAN	3670.5	1065.0	500	14  .98	3  .97	1	83.4	81.3		- 1
S.D.	129.5	37.0	12	0  .01	.1  .01	.1	.3	.2		1



									-
ENTRY	DATA S	CORE	DAT	Α Ι	AVERAGE	S.E.	OUTF	PTMEA	
NUMBER	CODE V	ALUE	COUNT	용	ABILITY	MEAN	MNSQ	CORR.   QUESTION	
		+-		+					
21 A	1	1	21	2	-220.20	46.21	1.7	40  I21 learns	
	2	2	121	12	190.57	19.60	1.4	70	
	3	3	266	26	862.60	12.22	.8	27	
	4	4	620	60 I	1388.90	2.32	.6	.82	
	MISSING	***	113	10#	1128.95	45.27		.03	
		- 1						1	
20 a	1	1	27	2	-254.87	26.63	.5	46  I20 remembers	
	2	2	121	11	202.54	15.02	.5	67	
	3	3	285	26	880.34	12.58	1.2	26	
	4	4	669	61	1383.50	2.64	1.4	.81	
	MISSING	***	39	3#	872.11	177.2		03	

<sup>#</sup> Missing % includes all categories. Scored % only of scored categories



#### TABLE OF MEASURES ON TEST OF 2 QUESTION

	MEASURE						•
	-364E						'
3	-190	141	6	845	353		1
4	134	261	7	1233	142		1

CURRENT VALUES, UMEAN=500.0000 USCALE=100.0000

TO SET MEASURE RANGE AS 0-100, UMEAN=48.7959 USCALE=5.6482

TO SET MEASURE RANGE TO MATCH RAW SCORE RANGE, UMEAN=4.9278 USCALE=.3389

Predicting Score from Measure: Score = Measure \* .0031 + 1.4272

Predicting Measure from Score: Measure = Score \* 316.7523 + -447.2141

		R	WA	SC	CORE	-ME	ASU	RE	OGIVE	FO	R	COMPL	ETE	TE	EST						
E		-+-			-+		+		+	-+-		+	+		+			+		-+-	+-
Χ	8	+																		Ε	+
P	7	+																*			+
E	6	+												*							+
С	5	+									*										+
T	4	+						*													+
E	3	+			*																+
D	2	+ ]	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$																		+
		-+-			-+		+		+	-+-		+	+		+			+		-+-	+-
S	- 4	100	-	-20	0.0		0	20	0 4	00		600	800		1000	)	120	0	14	00	1600
						ME	ASU	RE													
								1						2						5	
			1		1			0			4			01	1			9	6	6	
STUDE	EΝ		3	2	3			0			2			82	2			3	20	3	
								Т	1			S				M	Í				S
%TILE	Ε	(	C					10					3	0				40		99	
OUEST	ΓI										2										
~											TM	Т									

0 99

%TILE



TABLE OF SAMPLE NORMS (500/100) AND FREQUENCIES CORRESPONDING TO COMPLETE TEST

-	SCORE	MEASURE	S.E. N	ORMED		~			~	PERCENTI	 LE
 	2	-364E	198	186	43	13	1.2	13	1.2	1	ı
	3	-190	141	224	31	15	1.4	28	2.5	2	1
-	4	134	261	294	57	100	9.0	128	11.6	7	-
-	5	458	141	364	31	42	3.8	170	15.3	13	
	6	845	353	448	77	220	19.9	390	35.2	25	
	7	1233	142	533	31	95	8.6	485	43.8	39	
	8	1407E	198	570	43	623	56.2	1108	100.0	72	

THE NORMED SCALE IS EQUIVALENT TO UIMEAN= 265.0466 USCALE= .2171